


D

April 20th / 2017

Endorsement

Maxime J.

1) This was a motion for an order that Justice Beaudin refuse himself (or that the matter be put before Beaudin J. to hear the refusal motion) and for an interim injunction.



2) The Plaintiff is unrepresented, although the father of the Plaintiff spoke on his behalf seeking the relief requested.

3) At the outset of the motion the Court advised the P's father that it did not have jurisdiction to entertain the motion, or grant the relief requested.

②

4) The ~~Base~~ Basis For not having Jurisdiction was that the action was stayed pursuant to an order dated December 13/2016 of Beaudouin J, pending the P's serving a motion to amend the Statement of Claim on the Defendants against whom they wish to proceed.

5) The only recourse available to the P's was to either Appeal that decision (or seek leave to Appeal that decision) or comply with the order by moving to amend the Statement of Claim. Nothing more, nothing less.

6) As after the Fact Recusal motion is not justiciable. Bias or the apprehension thereof is

AMENDED Pleadings for
The Plaintiffs.



April 04, 17

③ A ground of appeal.

7) 3 party ~~Respond~~ Defendants appeared on the motion each sought costs, for having to attend the motion in the circumstances, I would fix costs in the following manner:

a) Conseil scolaire catholique (Emond
HARNDEN Firm)
 $\$1,250 \frac{xx}{oo}$

b) Ottawa-Carleton School Board (Bell
Baker
 $\$750 \frac{xx}{oo}$ - Roger
mills

c) Province of Ontario -
 $\$500 \frac{xx}{oo}$ Jeffrey
Chydow

8) Costs are payable forthwith by the Father or the named P's.

